AN EXCURSION WITH GINOMAI CLAUDE A. RIES, TH.D.

To understand the true significance of the great words of the Scriptures should be the passion of every lover of the Word. Such words are dynamic, for "they are spirit and they are life." One of the most outstanding words and one apparently overlooked by the usual Bible expositor is the Greek word $\gamma_{i\nu o\mu\alpha i}$. Its root $\gamma_{e\nu}$ occurs nearly one thousand times in the New Testament. A word so frequently used and so significant in meaning might well employ one's thought and energy. It is our purpose to discover its intrinsic meaning, compare that with the usual translations, and seek to catch the real meaning as intended by the mind of the writer in the various New Testament passages.

We lay no claim to originality. We have endeavored to live long enough with the Greek until, we believe, its inner secrets have been discerned.

"Originality in man," notes Dr. William G. T. Shedd,¹ "is always relative and never absolute Man did not absolutely originate the first truths of ethics, the necessary forms of logic, the fixed principles of physics. They were inlaid in his rational structure by a higher author and his originality consists solely in their exegesis and interpretation. Originality then within the sphere of a creature and in reference to a finite intelligence consists in the power of interpretation. In its last analysis it is exegesis,—the pure, genial and accurate exposition of an idea or a truth already existing, already communicated, already possessed."

A root, in language study, is the simplest form attainable by the analysis of a word into its component parts. Such a form contains the main idea of the word in a very general sense and is common also to other words either in the same language or in kindred languages. For example, the root STA is found in the Sanskrit TISHTHAMI, Greek $\iota\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$, Latin SISTERE and STARE, German STEHEN, and English STAND.²

The number of Greek roots is comparatively few,—not more than four hundred, probably less.³

We shall now proceed to the source of our word $\gamma_{i\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\iota}$. Its root is $\gamma_{\epsilon\nu}$. "In Ionic prose writing and in common Greek from Aristotle on, it is spelled $\gamma_{i\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\iota}$ instead of the Attic spelling $\gamma_{i\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\iota}$.⁴

Acting on the suggestion of Liddell and Scott to compare the significance of the root $\gamma \epsilon \nu$ with the radical or root "gan" of the Sanskrit, we shall now take up the parallel of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ in the Sanskrit. "The Sanskrit is of special interest to the student of the Greek New Testament," notes Dana and Mantey, "because of its close relation to the Greek, of which it may be described as an elder sister."⁵

The root (in Sanskrit) gan, gana, gaya, means to beget, bring forth, bear, generate, produce, make fruitful, cause to be, and be born, be produced, arise, grow, be by nature, be born again, be, become, be changed into, fall to share of, take place, be possible or admissible, and begotten by, new born, grown, spring up, arisen, existing; happened, conducive to.⁶

Gan-ana bringing forth, producing, producer-creator, fem: mother, neut: birth, existence, life; bringing forth production. Gan-tum: offspring, creature-being. Ganya: that is born or produced, arising from body.⁷

Sir W. Jones in Robertson's Grammar of Greek New Testament⁸ comments, "The Sanskirt language, whatever may be its antiquity is of wonderful structure, more perfect than the Greek, more copious than the Latin, and more exquisitely refined than either; yet bearing to both of them a stronger affinity both in roots of verbs and the forms of grammar, than could have been produced by accident; so strong that no philologer could examine all the three without believing them to have sprung from some common source which no longer exists."

To help arrive at the essential meaning of the root $\gamma \epsilon \nu$ let us note the main thought running through the various Greek words built upon this stem. They are fourteen in number: (1) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \alpha$, generation; (2) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \alpha \lambda \circ \gamma \epsilon \omega$, derive one's pedigree; (3) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \alpha \lambda \circ \gamma \epsilon \omega$, genealogy; (4) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \alpha$, birthday; (5) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \sigma s$, generation, natural; (6) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \eta$, birth; (7) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \sigma \iota \alpha$, beget, be born, bring forth; (8) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \alpha$, offspring, kindred, fruit; (9) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \tau \circ \sigma$ that is born; (10) $\gamma \epsilon \nu \circ \sigma$ offspring, kindred; (11) $\alpha \nu a - \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \alpha \omega$ to produce again, beget anew; (12) $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \iota \alpha$, kindred; (13) $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \sigma$ kindred; (14) $\sigma \nu \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, kinswoman. Running consistently throughout these various words is the thought of bringing into existence, of producing. It is particularly a "generate" word.

From Cremer we learn that the verb signifies both absolutely, to become and relatively, to become something, akin to be and to be so and so. To become, to begin to be (a) of persons to become, to be born (cf. John 1:15); of creation and what belongs thereto (cf. John 1:3, 10); (b) of circumstances and occurrences, to begin, to originate, to take place (cf. Acts 6:1); (c) of proceeding and events, their beginning and their course (cf. Matt. 21:42); (d) to express the historical appearing of persons (cf. John 1:6). And relatively, to become something with a predicate or adverbial limitation.⁹

Thayer gives the primary meaning of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ as "to become," i.e., to come into existence, begin to be, receive being.¹⁰

Liddell and Scott give the radical sense of the word $\gamma_{i\nu o\mu\alpha i}$ as to come into a new state of being hence: (1) absolute: to come into being of persons, to be born; of things to be produced; of events, to take place, come to pass, come on, happen.¹¹

Arndt and Gingrich give for $\gamma\iota\nu o\mu\alpha\iota$ come to be, become, originate. Specifically I. (1) Be born, or begotten; of things, arise, come about, also used with divisions of a day and (with various temperaments): e.g. complaining, weeping, clamor, contention, confusion; (2) be made, created; (3) happen, take place; (4) become something. II. As a substitute for the forms of $\epsilon\iota\mu\iota$, used with nominative, genitive and dative cases as well as with prepositions and adverbs and also translated appear, hence exist.¹²

As one senses the main drive throughout these various translations, the idea of being created stands out. With this consistency of meaning Webster's New International Dictionary is in perfect agreement, Webster defines CREATE as (1) to bring into being; to cause to exist; (2) to cause to be, or to produce, or bring to pass; (3) to cause or occasion, to form.¹³

These three essential factors lie inherent in this word $\gamma i \nu o \mu \alpha i$ (1) It is a passive creative word. (2) Back of the creative act lies an intent, purpose, design. (3) It denotes ingressive existence, a coming into being: i.e., "begin to be."

The more common translations of ginomai noted.

The word $\gamma_{i\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota}$ is variously translated as has been noted. The more common translations are as follows: arise, 13 times; be, 250 times; be done, 62 times; be made 70 times; become, 42 times; come, 53 times; come to pass, 82 times, and God forbid, (with un) 15 times.

The loss of the real significance of the meaning of $\gamma i \nu o \mu \alpha i$ is most apparent when these translations just given are compared.

1. $\gamma_{i\nu o\mu\alpha i}$ translated ARISE. Compare Matthew 8:24, 26, "And behold, there arose a great tempest in the sea, insomuch that the boat was covered with waves; but he was asleep ... And he saith unto them, Why are ye fearful, O ye of little

faith? Then he arose and rebuked the winds and the sea; and there was a great calm."

The verb arise is more nearly the translation of $\alpha \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu \iota$ (intransitively), to rise, stand up, arise, appear; or $\epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \rho \omega$, to arouse from sleep, to awake, to arouse from death, Hence, some of the vital meaning of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ is lost by the translation arise.

2. $\gamma_{\iota\nu o\mu\alpha\iota}$ translated BE. Compare Matthew 5:45. "That ye may be sons of your Father who is in heaven." The most frequent translation of $\gamma_{\iota\nu o\mu\alpha\iota}$ is BE (250 times). Indicative of the use of this translation we cite the following passages: Be calm, Mt. 8:26; wise, Mt. 10:16; ready, Mt. 24:44; alone, Mk. 4:16; merciful, Luke 6:36; imitators, I Cor. 4:16; partakers, Eph. 5:1,7; mature, I Cor. 14:20; kind, Eph. 4:32; thankful, Col. 3:15; comfort, Col. 4:11; doers, James 1:22, 25; in Spirit, Rev. 1:10; faithful, Rev. 2:10; watchful, Rev. 3:2.

The Greek verb more nearly approximating our English idea of being or existing is $\epsilon\iota\mu\iota$ which the lexicon gives as "to be, to exist, to live, be found." But $\gamma\iota\nuo\mu\alpha\iota$ has a far richer and fuller significance than that of merely being or existing.

3. yivopai translated BE DONE. Compare Matthew 9:29. "Then touched he their eyes, saying according to your faith BE it DONE unto you."

The Greek word more nearly corresponding to our idea of doing is $\pi\rho\alpha\sigma\sigma\omega$ to do, practice, effect exercise, be busy with, carry on, accomplish, perform.

BE DONE does not convey the true idea of γινομαι.

4. $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ translated BE MADE. Compare John 1:3, "All things were made through him; and without him was not anything made that hath been made."

The Greek word more nearly approximating our idea of making is $\pi_{0i\epsilon\omega}$, to make, produce, construct form, fashion. The significant loss made in such a translation is the root idea of *being created* thus. Compare I Cor. 1:30, 4:9; Eph. 3:7; Col. 1:23, Heb. 11:34.

5. $\gamma_{i\nu\nu\rho\mu\alpha i}$ translated BECOME. Compare Matthew 4:3. "And the tempter came and said to him, If thou art the Son of God, command that these stones become bread." Is not the real intent, "that these stones be created bread" revealing purpose and a creative act? Indicative of the use of $\gamma_{i\nu\nu\rho\mu\alpha i}$ translated "become" we cite the following passages, Become-great, Mt. 20:26; clean; Mt. 23:26; sons, John 1:12; united, Rom. 6:5; righteous, II Cor. 5:21; obedient, Phil. 2:8; blameless, Phil. 2:15.

To translate $\gamma \iota \nu \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ as *become* does not convey the real meaning of $\gamma \iota \nu \rho \mu \alpha \iota$ when we compare its meaning with the English definition of the verb to *become*: i.e., to come, happen, be about, to pass from one state to another, to be suitable to, congruous with.

6. γινομαι translated come. Compare John 1:6, "There *came* a man sent from God, whose name was John." Compare also John 1:17; Acts 12:11, II Cor. 3:7, Gal. 3:14.

Two Greek words more closely convey the meaning of *come* than does $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$. They are $\epsilon \rho \chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$, to come from one place to another, appear; and $\eta \kappa \omega$, to have come, have arrived, to be present. When such meanings are compared with the meaning of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$, the weak translation is obvious.

7. $\gamma_{i\nu\nu\rho\mu\alpha i}$ translated COME TO PASS. Compare Matthew 7:28. "And it came to pass, when Jesus had finished these words, the multitudes were astonished at his teaching." This group contains next to the largest number of passages (82 times).

The English conception for COME TO PASS is the thought of being or happening. Hence $\epsilon\iota\mu\iota$ is sometimes translated happen and so is $\sigma\nu\nu\beta\alpha\iota\nu\alpha$ (6 times in N.T.) meaning to come together, to happen, to come to pass. This falls far short of the true conception of $\gamma\iota\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota$. 8. $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ translated GOD FORBID. This, of course, is not a translation but a paraphrase. Compare Luke 20:16. "He will come and destroy these husbandmen, and will give the vineyard unto others. And when they heard it, they said, God forbid."

The Greek word portraying the idea of forbidding is $\kappa\omega\lambda\nu\omega$ (17 times in N.T.). to hinder, prevent, forbid. The comparison of such translation with the meaning of $\gamma\iota\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota$ is so obvious that no comment is necessary.

Before finishing this phase of our study of the meaning of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$, it would be well to study and compare the New Testament creation word $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$ with $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$. The only word in the New Testament translated to create is $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$. That it has in its primary significance a different connotation than $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ is apparent from the definitions that follow. In a later use, however, of the verb $\kappa \tau \iota \zeta \omega$, we find a close parallel to $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$, which fact helps to establish our contention of the translation of $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ as TO BE CREATED.

 $\kappa\tau\iota\zeta\omega$ is defined: (1) to build houses and cities, etc.; (2) to found, plant, build; (3) to found, establish; (4) to produce, create, bring into being; (5) to make so and so.

Again, as: to create, form, compose, - physically and spiritually as Romans 1:25, Eph. 2:10, $\kappa\tau\iota\sigma\iota s$ - creation (1) act - Rom. 1:20; (2) thing created, creature - Romans 1:25; creation generally - Romans 8:9-22; (3) metaphorically institution - I Peter 2:13.

Of rich significance is the inherent meanings of the compounds with $\gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$. Time will only permit a few to be noted.

1. $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \iota \nu \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$. Compare I Peter 2:24. Christ . . . "Who his own self bare our sins in his body upon the tree, that we, HAVING BEEN CREATED AWAY FROM $(\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \epsilon \nu \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma \iota)$ sins, might live unto righteousness; by whose stripes ye were healed." The Authorized Version translates $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \iota \nu \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ as "be dead" and the Revised as "die". This translation fails to bring out the intrinsic meaning of $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \iota \nu \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ are away from, and $\gamma \iota \nu \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ to be created; hence to be created away from. Thay r gives $\alpha \pi \sigma \gamma \iota \nu \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ as "to be removed from" and in reference to this passage he gives: "become utterly alienated from our sins." Thus by a new creation (John 1:12) we are removed from or created away from our sins.

Being created away from our sins is a negative phase of our salvation, we live unto righteousness as a positive phase; so that instead of going way from Christ as strayed sheep (verse 25) "we are created away from our sins; and the life is now hid with Christ in God, a complete reversal of life's direction.

2. $\delta_{\iota\alpha\gamma\iota\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota}$. Compare Acts 27:9. "And when much time was spent (literally, came to be through or was created through ($\delta_{\iota\alpha\gamma\epsilon\nu\rho\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu}$) . . . and the voyage was now dangerous . . . Paul admonished them."

Compare Acts 25:13 "Now when certain days WERE PASSED. Literally came to be through or were created through, Agrippa and Bernice arrived at Caesarea and saluted Festus."

3. $\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \iota \nu \circ \mu \alpha \iota$. Compare Acts 28:13. "And from thence we made a circuit and and arrived at Rhegium: and after one day a south wind SPRANG UP." Literally: *came to be upon* or *was created upon* ($\varepsilon \pi \iota \gamma \varepsilon \nu \circ \mu \varepsilon \nu \circ \nu$). The south wind *was created upon* the land. This translation shows a causation back of the wind and not just the fact of there being such a wind.

4. $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\gamma\iota\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota$. Compare Acts 11:23. "Who, when he WAS COME and had seen the grace of God was glad." *Was come*, more literally translated *came to be by* or was created by or near ($\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\gamma\epsilon\nu\rho\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma$). Authorized Version: "Came." It is to be

noted that neither $\epsilon \rho \chi o \mu \alpha \iota$ nor $\eta \kappa \omega$, the favorite words for "come" are used here but rather a compound of $\gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha \iota$ — the passive creative word. There must be more significance here than merely the arrival of Barnabas or else the writer would not have used $\gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha \iota$. It involves the creative purpose of God in Barnabas' relation to the indicated situation. Compare Hebrews 9:11. "Christ, having come, literally having been created near, a high priest of good things to come."

5. $\pi \rho o \gamma \iota \nu o \mu \alpha \iota$. Compare Romans 3:25. "Christ Jesus, whom God set forth to be a propitiation, through faith in his blood, to show his righteousness because of the passing over of sins DONE AFORETIME" ($\pi \rho o \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu o \tau \omega \nu$). Literally "the sins coming to be before — in the forbearance of God."

6. $\sigma\nu\mu\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\gamma\iota\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$. Compare Luke 23:48. "And all the multitudes that CAME TOGETHER, literally: were created alongside with or came to be along side with $(\sigma\nu\mu\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\gamma\epsilon\nu\sigma\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\prime)$ to this sight, when they beheld the things that were done, returned, smiting their breasts."

It is to be seen from our cursory adventure into the Greek New Testament that much clear and definitive meaning is lost by translators in their more or less free translation of the very significant word $\gamma_{i\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota}$. Inherent in the word lies the passive creative idea (sometimes making a good English translation, at other times a rather awkward one, though the idea lies imbedded in $\gamma_{i\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota}$). Again much of the purposefulness of God back of His acts as well as of man's when $\gamma_{i\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota}$ is used is lost because back of the creative act lies intent purpose, design seen in the all-over use of this word. And all of the action of $\gamma_{i\nu\rho\mu\alpha\iota}$ is in the ingressive state, a coming into a state of being making thus the kind of action definite.

Through $\gamma_{i\nu\sigma\mu\alpha\iota}$ we have been brought back to the very incipiency of each new creation and design as it breaks forth into vitalized meaning and beauty.

Houghton College Houghton, New York

BIBLIOGBAPHY

PRIMARY SOURCES

The Scriptures

The Greek New Testament, Eberhard Nestle, Edition 1929-1957 British and Foreign Bible Society. The American Standard Revision, 1901. The Authorized Version, 1611.

SECONDARY SOURCES

Analytical Concordance to the Bible, Robert Young 1912, Funk and Wagnalls Co., New York.

Biblico-Theological Lexicon, Hermann Cremer 1883, T. and T. Clark, Edinburgh.

Concordance to the Greek Testament, W. W. Moulton 1900, and A. S. Geden, Charles Scribner's Sons, New York. Complete Latin Grammar, Albert Harkness 1898, American Book Company, New York.

Critical Greek-English Concordance of the New Testament, C. F. Hudson 1891, H. S. Hastings, Boston.

- Grammar of the Greek New Testament in the Light of Historical Research, A. T. Robertson 1914, George H. Doran, New York.
- Greek-English Lexicon of New Testament and other Early Christian Literature, William F. Arndt and F., Wilbur Gingrich, University of Chicago Press, 1957.

Greek-English Lexicon, H. G. Liddell and R. Scott, 1883, Harper and Brothers, New York.

Greek-English Lexicon, J. H. Thayer, 1889, American Book Company, New York.

Handbook to the Greek New Testament, S. G. Green, Fleming H. Revell, New York.

Manual Grammar of the Greek New Testament, H. E. Dana and J. R. Mantey, 1938, Macmillan Co., New York.

New Latin Grammar, J. H. Allen and J. B. Greenough, 1903, Ginn and Company, New York.

New International Dictionary, Noah Webster, 1930, G. and C. Merriam Co., Springfield, Mass.

The Rotherham Bible (the Emphasized Bible), Joseph B. Rotherham, 1897, The Standard Publishing Company, Cincinnati.

The Sanskrit Language Dictionary, A. A. Macdonnell 1924, Oxford University Press, London.

REFERENCES

- "Homiletics and Pastoral Theology," William G. T. Shedd, p. 7f. 1.
- "New Latin Grammar," Allen and Greenough, p. 13. 2.
- "Grammar of he Greek New Testament in the Light of Historical Research," p. 144. 3.
- "A Greek-English Lexicon," J. H. Thayer, p. 115. 4.
- "Manual Grammar of the Greek New Testament," Dana and Mantey, p. 2. 5.
- "Sanskrit Language Dictionary," A. A. Macdonnell, p. 98. б.
- 7. Ibid.
- 8. "Grammar of the Greek New Testament," A. T. Robertson, p. 10.
- "Biblico-Theological Lexicon of the New Testament Greek," Hermann Cremer, pp. 667, 668. 9.
- 10. "Greek-English Lexicon," J. H. Thayer, p. 115.
- "Greek-English Lexicon," Liddell and Scott, p. 309. 11,
- "A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and other Early Christian Literature," p. 157f. 12.
- "New International Dictionary," Noah Webster, p. 528. American Revised Version used in biblical quotations. 13